MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Steven Anderson, D.C. Bridgefield Casualty Insurance

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-17-3622-01 Box Number 17

MFDR Date Received

August 10, 2017

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "MMI = \$350.00

IR - W/ROM = \$300.00TTL = \$650.00"

Amount in Dispute: \$150.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Respondent did reimburse \$350.00 for the MMI portion of the examination. With regard to the impairment rating, the designated doctor's report clearly states the AMA 4th Edition was used, and the Claimant 'is best rated under Table 72 DRE (Diagnosis Related Estimate) Category I.' This shows the DRE method was used ... Therefore, Respondent properly reimbursement Requestor \$150.00 for the impairment rating portion of the examination."

Response Submitted by: Downs-Stanford, P.C.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 12, 2016	Designated Doctor Examination	\$150.00	\$150.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.250 sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating performed on or after September 1, 2016.

- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment. PPO not utilized per agreement.
 - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.

<u>Issues</u>

Is Steven Anderson, D.C. entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

Dr. Anderson is seeking an additional reimbursement of \$150.00 for a designated doctor examination to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating performed on October 12, 2016.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.250(3), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350." The submitted documentation supports that Dr. Anderson performed an evaluation of maximum medical improvement. Therefore, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for this examination is \$350.00.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.250(4), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation. ... (C)(ii) The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows. ... (II) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is **performed** [emphasis added]: (-a-) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area." The submitted documentation supports that Dr. Anderson provided an impairment rating, which included a musculoskeletal body part, and performed a full physical evaluation with range of motion of the lumbar spine. Therefore, the MAR for this examination is \$300.00.

The total allowable for the disputed services is \$650.00. Bridgefield Casualty Insurance reimbursed \$500.00. An additional \$150.00 is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$150.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$150.00, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	October 6, 2017
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim. The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* and *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.